# PORTUGALIAE MATHEMATICA

ISSN 0032-5155

VOLUME 43

1985-1986

Edição da
SOCIEDADE PORTUGUESA DE MATEMÁTICA

PORTUGALIAE MATHEMATICA
Av. da República, 37-4.°
1000 LISBOA—PORTUGAL

# ON POLYNOMIAL INVARIANT CHAINS OF MATRICES AND PRINCIPAL SUBMATRICES

BY

# JOÃO CARLOS DAVID VIEIRA

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Aveiro 3800 Aveiro — PORTUGAL

ABSTRACT. Let  $\chi_{s_1}, \ldots, \chi_{s_1}$  and  $\xi_{r_1}, \ldots, \xi_{r_t}$  be two sequences of nonzero monic polynomials with  $s_j$  and  $r_i$  integer numbers satisfying the inequalities  $1 \leqslant s_1 < \ldots < s_q < n$  and  $1 \leqslant r_1 < \ldots < r_t < n+p$ . Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for matrices A and B to exist such that: (i) B is an n+p square matrix having A as principal n-square block; (ii) the characteristic invariant chains of A and B (namely  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  and  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n+p}$ ) satisfy  $\alpha_{s_j} = \chi_{s_j}$  and  $\beta_{r_i} = \xi_{r_i}$ , for  $j=1,\ldots,q$  and  $i=1,\ldots,t$ .

Note that no minimal polynomial is prescribed.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper **K** denotes an arbitrary field and greek letters are used to represent either monic polynomials in the variable  $\lambda$  or polynomial chains. A and B represent square matrices over **K** of orders respectively n and n+p  $(n\geqslant 1$  and  $p\geqslant 0)$ . Given two polynomials  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  we write  $\mu<:\nu$  to mean that  $\mu$  divides  $\nu$ . Sometimes we will use the following notation

inf 
$$(\mu, \nu)$$
: = gcd  $(\mu, \nu)$  and sup  $(\mu, \nu)$ : = 1cm  $(\mu, \nu)$ ,

where gcd and 1cm stand for greatest common divisor and least common multiple.

Received December 5, 1984. Revised April, 1 1986.

Recall that the *characteristic invariant factors* of A are the invariant factors of  $\lambda I$  — A which we represent by  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$  and are defined by

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k = \gcd \{ \text{minors of order } k \text{ of } \lambda I - A \},$$

for  $k=1,\ldots,n$ . Obviously  $\alpha_1<:\alpha_2<:\ldots<:\alpha_n$ . Similarly are defined the characteristic invariants of B, denoted by  $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_{n+p}$ .

The sequence  $\alpha$ : =  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$  is called the *characteristic invariant* chain of A, or simply the *invariant chain of* A.

Following [1] we also denote by  $\alpha$  the infinite sequence of invariant factors  $(..., 1, 1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n, 0, 0, ...)$  obtained from  $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$  by adding to it an initial infinite string of l's and a final infinite string of 0's. Therefore we have  $\alpha = (\alpha_i : i \in \mathbf{Z})$ , where

$$\alpha_i=1, \ if \ i<1$$
 ;  $\alpha_i=0, \ if \ i>n$  ;  $\alpha_i<:\alpha_{i+1}, \ for \ i\in \boldsymbol{Z}.$ 

Similarly, we name by invariant chain of B either the sequence  $(\beta_1,...,\,\beta_{n+p})$  or the infinite sequence  $\beta=(\beta_i:i\in \mathbf{Z})$ , where  $\beta_i=1$  if i<1, and  $\beta_i=0$  if i>n+p.

Generally speaking, a *chain* is a sequence of polynomials  $\gamma = (\gamma_i : i \in \mathbf{Z})$ , such that  $\gamma_i < : \gamma_{i+1}$ . The *rank* of a chain  $\gamma$  is defined by

rank 
$$(\gamma)$$
: = inf  $\{i : \gamma_{i+1} = 0\}$ .

For a given integer k and a given chain  $\gamma$  with rank  $(\gamma)\geqslant k,$  we define the k – degree of  $\gamma$  by

$$\deg_k \; (\gamma) \; : \; = \sum_{i \; \leqslant \; k} \deg \; (\gamma_i)$$

A matrix A is said to be c-imbeddable in B if A is a principal submatrix of a matrix  $\overline{B}$  similar to B.

Let us fix once for all the following two sequences of nonzero monic polynomials to be used in what follows:

(1.1) 
$$\chi_{s_1}, ..., \chi_{s_q} \text{ and } \xi_{r_1}, ..., \xi_{r_t}$$

where the  $s_j$  and  $r_i$  are fixed integers such that  $1\leqslant s_1<...< s_q< n$  ,  $1\leqslant r_1<...< r_t< n+p.$  We always assume that

$$\chi_{s_1}<:...<:\chi_{s_q}$$
 and  $\xi_{r_1}<:...<:\xi_{r_t}$ 

### 2. Some sets of chains

Let us denote by C the set of all chains

$$C:=\{\gamma=(\gamma_i): \gamma_i<: \gamma_{i+1} \text{ for all } i\in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

and define on C, in a natural way, the following partial ordering:

$$\gamma < : \, \delta \quad \text{iff} \quad \gamma_i < : \, \delta_i \quad \text{for all } i \in {\bf Z},$$

and the two operations

$$\inf (\gamma, \delta) := (\gcd (\gamma_i, \delta_i) : i \in \mathbf{Z}), \sup (\gamma, \delta) := (1 \operatorname{cm} (\gamma_i, \delta_i) : i \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

With these two operations, C is obviously a complete lattice. In C define the *shifting operator of order* s, for any integer s, by the following convention:

Es  $\gamma$  is the chain  $\gamma'$  with coordinates  $\gamma_i'$ : =  $\gamma_{i+s}$ .

Consider the following subset of C

$$C_\chi\colon=\{\gamma\in C\,:\,\gamma_{s_{\mathbf{j}}}=\chi_{s_{\mathbf{j}}}\text{ for }j=1,\,...,\,q\}$$

where  $\chi_{s_i}$  are the polynomials referred in (1.1). It is easily seen that, given an arbitrary set of chains of  $C_{\chi}$ , the infimum and the supremum of this set also belong to  $C_{\chi}$ . Thus  $C_{\chi}$  is a complete sublattice of C.

Let  $\chi^m$  and  $\chi^M$  be the chains whose coordinates are given by

$$\chi^m_i := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 \quad \text{if} \qquad i < s_1 \\ \chi_{s_j} \quad \text{if} \quad s_j \leqslant i < s_{j+1} \\ \chi_{s_q} \quad \text{if} \quad s_q \leqslant i \end{array} \right. \qquad \chi^M_i := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \chi_{s_1} \quad \text{if} \qquad i \leqslant s_1 \\ \chi_{s_k} \quad \text{if} \quad s_{k-1} < i \leqslant s_k \\ 0 \quad \text{if} \quad s_q < i \end{array} \right.$$

It is easy to prove the following:

Proposition 2.1. The chain  $\chi^m$  is the infimum of  $C_{\gamma}$  and  $\chi^M$  is the supremum of  $C_{\gamma}$ .

A similar result can be proved for the set

$$C_{\xi} := \{ \delta \in C : \delta_{r_k} = \xi_{r_k}, \text{ for } k = 1, ..., t \}.$$

As a matter of fact, if we define  $\xi^m$  and  $\xi^M$  by

$$\xi_i^m := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 \quad \text{if} \qquad i < r_1 \\ \xi_{r_k} \ \text{if} \ r_k \leqslant i < r_{k+1} \\ \xi_{r_t} \ \text{if} \ r_t \leqslant i \end{array} \right. \quad \xi_i^M := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \xi_{r_1} \ \text{if} \qquad i \leqslant r_1 \\ \xi_{r_k} \ \text{if} \ r_{k-1} < i \leqslant r_k \\ 0 \quad \text{if} \quad r_t < i \end{array} \right.$$

we have the following

Proposition 2.2. The chain  $\xi^m$  is the infimum of  $C_\xi$  and  $\xi^M$  is the supremum of  $C_\xi$ .

The following set  $P(X, \xi)$  will play an important role in the sequel (recall that p is a fixed nonnegative integer):

$$P(X, \xi) := \{ (\gamma, \delta) : \delta <: \gamma <: \mathbf{E}^{2p} \delta, \gamma \in C_{\chi}, \delta \in C_{\xi} \}.$$

THEOREM 2.3. The set  $P(X, \xi)$  is nonempty if and only if the following relations hold:

*Proof.* Assume that there exists a pair  $(\gamma, \delta)$  in the set  $P(\chi, \xi)$ . Then it follows that

$$\chi^m<$$
 :  $\gamma<$  :  $\chi^M$  ,  $\xi^m<$  :  $\delta<$  :  $\xi^M$  and  $\delta<$  :  $\gamma<$  :  $\mathrm{E}^{2p}$   $\delta.$ 

From these relations we obtain (2.1) by transitivity.

Conversely, assume (2.1) holds. Consider the chains  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  given by  $\gamma := \sup (\chi^m, \xi^m)$  and  $\delta := \sup (\xi^m, E^{-2p} \chi^m)$ . Using (2.1) it can be proved that  $(\gamma, \delta)$  belongs to  $P(\chi, \xi)$ . We omit the details.

In the sequel we shall use the following additional notations:

$$(2.2) \qquad \begin{array}{lll} \chi^{\sigma} \colon = \inf & (\chi^{M} \text{ , } E^{\uparrow p} \text{ $\xi M$}) & \xi^{\sigma} \colon = \inf & (\xi^{M}, \, \chi^{M}) \\ \\ \chi^{\iota} \colon = \sup & (\chi^{m} \text{ , $\xi^{m}$}) & \xi^{\iota} \colon = \sup & (\xi^{m}, \, E^{-2p} \text{ $\chi^{m}$}). \end{array}$$

In a natural way we define in  $P(\chi, \xi)$  a partial order relation by

$$(\alpha, \beta) < : (\gamma, \delta) \text{ iff } \alpha < : \gamma \text{ and } \beta < : \delta.$$

It can be proved that  $P(\mathfrak{X}, \xi)$  is a complete sub-lattice of  $C_{\mathfrak{X}} \times C_{\xi}$  with respect to this partial ordering. In particular the supremum and the infimum of  $P(\mathfrak{X}, \xi)$  may be described in terms of the chains defined in (2.2). This is the purpose of the following theorem whose proof we omit.

Theorem 2.4. Assume  $P(\chi, \xi)$  is nonempty. Then  $(\chi^{\sigma}, \xi^{\sigma})$  and  $(\chi^{\iota}, \xi^{\iota})$  are respectively the supremum and the infimum of  $P(\chi, \xi)$ .

# 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let A and B be two square matrices of orders n and n+p with characteristic invariant chains  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. If  $\alpha \in C_{\chi}$ ,  $\beta \in C_{\xi}$  and if A is c-imbeddable in B, then we have

(3.1) 
$$\chi^{m} < : E^{2p} \xi^{M} \text{ and } \xi^{M} < : \chi^{m}.$$

*Proof.* From the hypotheses and from the results in [1, 2] it follows that the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  belongs to  $P(\chi, \xi)$ . Therefore, (3.1) follows from Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 3.2. Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1 we have the following degree inequalities:

$$(3.2) \qquad \deg_n (\chi^{\iota}) \leqslant n \text{ and } \deg_{n+p} (\xi^{\iota}) \leqslant n+p.$$

*Proof.* From the hypotheses it follows that rank  $(\alpha) = \deg_n(\alpha) = n$  and rank  $(\beta) = \deg_{n+p}(\beta) = n+p$ . Since  $(\alpha, \beta)$  belongs to  $P(X, \xi)$  it follows from Theorem 2.4 that  $\chi^i < : \alpha$  and  $\xi^i < : \beta$ . This yields (3.2).

Theorem 3.3. Assume that the sequences  $\chi$  and  $\xi$  satisfy the conditions (3.1) and (3.2). Then two matrices A and B exist such that A is c-imbeddable in B, the characteristic invariant chain of A belongs to  $C_{\chi}$  and the characteristic invariant chain of B belongs to  $C_{\xi}$ .

*Proof.* Conditions (3.1) mean that  $P(\chi, \xi)$  is nonempty. We use the infimum of  $P(\chi, \xi)$  given by (2.2) and Theorem 2.4 in the following construction of two chains  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$\begin{split} \alpha_i &= \chi_i^t \ \mathrm{if} \ i < n; \qquad \alpha_n &= \upsilon \chi_n^t \quad \mathrm{and} \ \alpha_i = 0 \ \mathrm{if} \ i > n \\ \beta_j &= \xi_j^t \ \mathrm{if} \ j < n+p; \ \beta_{n+p} = \omega \xi_{n+p}^t \ \mathrm{and} \ \beta_j = 0 \ \mathrm{if} \ j > n+p. \end{split}$$

In these definitions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta,$   $\upsilon$  and  $\omega$  are any polynomials such that

$$\mathrm{deg}\left(\upsilon\right)=n-\mathrm{deg}_{n}\left(\chi^{\iota}\right)\ \ \text{and}\ \ \mathrm{deg}\left(\omega\right)=n+p-\mathrm{deg}_{n+p}\left(\xi^{\iota}\right).$$

Such polynomials exist because of (3.2). It is a simple matter to prove that the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  belongs to  $P(\chi, \xi)$ . The results in [1, 2] may again be applied to conclude the proof.

# REFERENCES

- E. Marques de Sá Imersão de Matrizes e Entrelaçamento de Factores Invariantes, Tese de Doutoramento, Universidade de Coimbra, 1979.
- 2. R. C. Thompson Interlacing Inequalities for Invariant Factors, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 24 (1979), 1-32.
- J. DAVID VIEIRA Partial Prescription of Matrices and Submatrices Invariant Polynomials, Algebra Lineal y Aplicaciones, Actas del Encuentro Internacional de Algebra Lineal y Aplicaciones, Vitoria, 1983, pp 418-424